2012-2013 Report Card for

Bobby F. Grigsby Intermediate School

SCHOOL GRADE

Coming in 2015



Achievement

This grade combines two results for students who took the state tests. The first result answers the question – How many students passed the state test? The second result answers the question – How well did students do on the state test?

COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in 2015



Progress

This is your district's average progress for its students in math and reading, grades 4-8. It looks at how much each student learns in a year. Did the students get a year's worth of growth? Did they get more? Did they get less?

Value Added	
Overall	A
Gifted	C
Students with Disabilities	С
Lowest 20% in Achievement	D

COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in 2015



100.0%

Gap Closing

This grade shows how well all students are doing in your district in reading, math, and graduation. It answers the question – Is every student succeeding, regardless of income, race, culture or disability?

COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in 2015

Graduation Rates

Graduation Rate

This grade answers the question – How many ninth graders graduate in four years or five years?

COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in 2015



K-3 Literacy

This grade answers the question – Are more students learning to read in kindergarten through third grade? The 2014 report card will report some results. The 2015 report card will display one grade for kindergarten through grade 3.

COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in 2015



Prepared for Success

This school is not evaluated for graduation rate because there are

not enough students in the graduating class.

This grade answers the question – Are students who graduate from our district ready for college or a career? There are six ways to show that graduates are prepared. In the 2014 school year, the report card will show results for the six areas. The 2015 report card will display one grade combining the results of all six ways of showing graduates are prepared.

COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in 2015

Achievement



This grade combines two results for students who took the state tests. The first result answers the question – How many students passed the state test? The second result answers the question – How well did students do on the state test?

COMPONENT GRADE
Coming in
2015

COADE

Performance Index



The Performance Index measures the test results of every student, not just those who score proficient or higher. There are six levels on the index and districts receive points for every student in each of these levels. The higher the achievement level the more the points awarded in the districts index. This rewards schools and districts for improving performance.


Performance Index



Achievement Level	Pct of Students		oints for his Level	ı	Points Received
Advanced Plus	0.1	X	1.3	=	0.2
Advanced	24.6	×	1.2	=	29.5
Accelerated	30.3	x	1.1	=	33.3
Proficient	31.7	x	1.0	=	31.7
Basic	8.9	x	0.6	=	5.3
Limited	4.4	X	0.3	=	1.3
Untested	0.0	x	0.0	=	0.0
					101.3


84.4%101.3 of a possible 120.0







Performance Index Trend





A

Indicators Met

Indicators Met measures how many students have passed the state tests at a minimum level, called proficient, or higher. Test results are reported for each student in a grade and subject. At least 75 percent of students must pass to get credit for the indicator. Starting in the 2013-14 school year, a district or school needs to have 80 percent of their students pass at a minimum level or higher in order to "meet" an indicator.

Indicators Met %

100.0% 7.0 out of 7.0

A = 90.0 - 100.0% B = 80.0 - 89.9% C = 70.0 - 79.9% D = 50.0 - 69.9% F = 0.0 - 49.9%



Grades 3-5

	Mathematics	91.7%	4
3rd Grade	Reading	90.0%	V
Alla Ossa da	Mathematics	95.0%	V
4th Grade	Reading	91.5%	V
	Mathematics	81.0%	V
5th Grade	Reading	81.0%	V
	Science	77.8%	2

Grades 6-8


This school does not have enough test results in 6th, 7th, or 8th grade to display this table.


Ohio Graduation Test

This school does not have enough Ohio Graduation Test results to display this table.


Proficiency Percent Comparison by Grade


94%, 92%, 90.0%, 90.0%, 88%, 86%, 84%, 82%, 80%, 78%, Reading Mathematics State Average






Proficient Percent Trend by Grade







Progress



This is your school's average progress for its students in math and reading, grades 4-8. It looks at how much each student learns in a year. It answers the question – Did the students get a year's worth of growth? Did they get more? Did they get less?

COMPONENT GRADE Coming in 2015

GRADE

A

Overall

This measures the progress for all students in math and reading, grades 4-8,

GRADE

Gifted Students

This measures the progress for students identified as gifted in reading, math, and/or superior cognitive ability.

GRADE

Students in the Lowest 20% in Achievement

This measures the progress for students identified as the lowest 20% statewide in reading and math achievement.

GRADE

Students with Disabilities

This measures the progress for students with disabilities.

GRADE Coming

in 2016

C

High School

A High School measure of progress will be implemented in the 2015-16 school year.

Progress Details

This table shows the Progress scores by test grade and subject.


Test Grade	Progress Score			
	Reading	Mathematics	All Tests	
All Grades	0,8	5,4	3.8	
4th Grade	0.7	0,6	0.9	
5th Grade	0,3	6,2	3.9	

Although Progress scores are not assigned letter grades at this level of detail, the grading scale applied at the Overall (All Students, All Tests) level is:

A = 2.0 and up B = 1.0 to 1.9 C = -1.0 to 0.9 D = -2.0 to -1.1 E = below -2.0

Progress vs. Performance Index

This bubble chart shows the relationship between each subgroup's performance index results (horizontal axis) to the Value-Added letter grade (vertical axis). The size of the bubble represents the size of the student subgroup.



IRN: 004937

Gap Closing



This grade shows how well all students are doing in your district in reading, math, and graduation. It answers the question – Is every student succeeding, regardless of income, race, culture or disability?

COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in

2015


GRADE


Annual Measurable Objectives

Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) compare the performance of all students to a state goal which is displayed as the red line in the following charts. These charts show how well each group achieves that goal in reading, math and graduation — and emphasize any achievement gaps that exist between groups. The ultimate goal is for all groups to achieve at high levels.

AMO Points

75.0%A = 90.0 - 100.0%
B = 80.0 - 89.9%
C = 70.0 - 79.9%
D = 60.0 - 69.9%





Graduation Rate

This school has no no Annual Measurable Objectives for Graduation Rate because there were not enough students to evaluate.

The red line on each graph identifies the Annual Measurable Objective. The 2013 AMO for Reading is 83.4%, for Math is 78.5%, and for Graduation Rate is 75.9%. Subgroups with fewer than 30 students are not rated and do not appear on the graphs.

Graduation Rate



This grade represents the percentage of students whom entered the 9th grade and graduated 4 and 5 years later.



This school has not been assigned a grade for Graduation Rate because there were not enough students to evaluate.

IRN: 004937 Printed on March 6, 2014 Page 8 of 15

The Graduation Rate graph that would appear in this space cannot be displayed because there were not enough students to evaluate.



Principal: Shane Estep

Phone: (937) 746-8969

Address: 100 Jamaica Rd Carlisle OH 45005-3110

Directory Information current as of the 2012-2013 Report Card publication date

Your School's Students

Average Daily **Enrollment:**


Number of Limited English **Proficiency** Students **Excluded from** Accountability Calculations:

384

Enrollment by Subgroup

	Enrollment #	Enrollment %
Am. Indian / Alaskan Native	NC	
Asian or Pacific Islander	NC	
Black, Non-Hispanic	NC	
Hispanic	NC	
Multiracial	NC	
White, Non-Hispanic	364	94.9%
Students with Disabilities	41	10.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	158	41.1%
Limited English Proficiency	NC	
Migrant	NC	

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group



Mobility Rates by Subgroup

Stude	nt Mobility %
All Students	0.0%
Am. Indian / Alaskan Native	NC
Asian or Pacific Islander	NC
Black, Non-Hispanic	NC
Hispanic	0.0%
Multiracial	NC
White, Non-Hispanic	0.0%
Students with Disabilities	0.0%
Economically Disadvantaged	0.0%
Limited English Proficiency	NC
Migrant	NC

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{NC}}=\ensuremath{\mathsf{Not}}$ Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group

A mobility rate chart cannot be displayed for this school because either there are not enough students to evaluate in any subgroup or all calculated results are 0.0%.

Your School's Teachers

Your School's Poverty Status: Medium-Low	Your School	Your District
Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree	100.0	99.1
Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree	69.6	71.0
Percentage of core academic subject and elementary classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0	0
Percentage of core academic subject and elementary classes taught by properly certified teachers	100	99.6
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/licensure	0	0

High-poverty schools are those ranked in the top quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. Low-poverty schools are those ranked in the bottom quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. A district may have buildings in both quartiles, in just one quartile or in neither quartile.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{NC}}=\ensuremath{\mathsf{Not}}$ Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group

Wellness and Physical Education

The extent to which students are successful in meeting the benchmarks contained in Ohio's physical education standards

Moderate Success

Financial Data




These measures answer several questions about spending and performance. How much is spent on Classroom instruction? How much, on average, is spent on each student? What is the source of the revenue? How do these measures compare to other districts and schools?

Note: Financial data is district level data. The data on this page is for Carlisle Local, not just Bobby F. Grigsby


Comparison Group: Enrollment between 1000 and 2499

Classroom Spending Data



Spending per Pupil Data


	District	State
Operating Spending per Pupil	\$8,247	\$8,814
Classroom Instruction	\$5,820	\$5,953
Non-Classroom Spending	\$2,427	\$2,861




Spending and Performance

This measure answers the question — what is the relationship of average spending per student to performance, and how does that compare to similar districts and schools?

Comparison Group



All Public Districts



Carlisle Local IS NOT among the 20% of traditional public districts with the highest academic performance index scores. Carlisle Local IS NOT among the 20% of traditional public districts with the lowest operating expenditures per pupil.

Source of Revenue

Source of Funds	Distric	t	State Total	
Local	\$5,967,993	36.6%	\$7,953,216,862	40.1%
State	\$8,396,761	51.5%	\$8,725,275,316	44.0%
Federal	\$878,689	5.4%	\$1,701,436,355	8.6%
Other Non-Tax	\$0	0.0%	\$1,442,122,710	7.3%
Total	\$15,243,443	100.0%	\$19,822,051,242	100.0%

